



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,101	06/20/2003	Curtis L. Berry		7751	
7590 05/06/2004			EXAMINER		
	WN TODD LLC	REDMAN, JERRY E			
2200 PNC Cent					
201 E. Fifth Str		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cincinnati, OH	45202-4182	3634			

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/600,101	BERRY ET AL.	Ĵ
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jerry Redman	3634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	S
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat- tire to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
2a) <u></u>		b)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal ma		rits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya the correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)		ocuments have been received. locuments have been received in a f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	e
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/20/03</u> .	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/600,101

Art Unit: 3634

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the door track guides the door panel vertically downward to close the door panel as recited in claim 10, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2, line 2, the phraseology "engagement devices comprises a deformable device" is not readily understood by the Examiner. Is the entire "device" deformable? Exactly what part of each device is deformable?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 8-9, and 11 and as best understood claim 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dusing. Dusing discloses a door system comprising a door frame (10) defining a door opening (11), a track (13) mounting along

Art Unit: 3634

a top portion, a door panel (12) guided along the track (13), a first engagement device (16) attached to both a leading and trailing edge of the door panel (12) and having a camming surface (19), a second engagement device (22) projecting toward the door panel (12) and having a camming surface (the top portion of the projections of 23), and wherein the first and second engagement devices are "deformable".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dusing in view of Gebauer. All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above except providing two sliding door panels. Gebauer disclose two sliding panels closing a single opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the door opening of Dusing with two sliding doors as taught by Gebauer since two sliding doors allows the opening to be open quicker than a single panel.

Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,101

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patent to Hill discloses a door system having a camming means similar to that of the applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 703-308-2120.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner